## Central Administrative Tribunal Ernakulam Bench

<u>CP/180/00089/2016</u> <u>in OA No.91/2011</u>

Tuesday, this the 12th day of July, 2016

#### CORAM

Hon'ble Mr.Justice N.K.Balakrishnan, Judicial Member Hon'ble Mrs.P.Gopinath, Administrative Member

- 1. All India BSNL Pensioners' Welfare Association represented by its Circle Secretary, R.N. Padanayar, age 65 yrs, S/o V. Raman Nair, Perampet House, Thuruth, Aluva-683 101.
- Siciliamma Thomas, age 67 years, W/o T.D. Thomas, (retired Senior Telephone Supervisor)
   Telephone Exchange, Mattancherry, Kochi - 682 002, Residing at Thekkedath House, XV/29 A, RC Road, Kochi-682 005.
- 3. C.G. Daniel, aged 67 years,
  S/o Late C.T. Varghese,
  Retired Senior Section Supervisor,
  BSNL, Central Telegraph Office,
  Kochi -16, Residing at Chelackattu House,
  Lane-22, Janatha Road, Vyttila, Kochi -19.
- K.D. Rajappan, aged 67 years,
   S/o K. Damodaran,
   Retired Telecom Technical Assistant,
   O/o the Sub Divisional Engineer,
   A/C & Power, Telephone Exchange,
   Ernakulam, Residing at Karthika, House No. 31/59 A,
   Ambelipadam Road, Vyttila PO, Kochi-682 019.
- K.P. Devakikutty, aged 68 years,
   W/o M. Bhaskara Menon,
   Retired Senior Telecom Supervisor (OP),
   CDTMX, Telephone Exchange,
   Boat Jetty, Kochi-682 011,
   Residing at Manikkiri House,
   Manikkiri Road, Kochi~682 016.
- 6. P.K. Varghese, aged 64 years, S/o U Kuriakose,

Retired Senior Telegraph Master (O), Residing at Penchathil House, Kulampadam, Koothattukulam P.O., Kochi-686 662.

Petitioners

(By Advocate: Mr.R.Sreeraj)

### Versus

- Sri. Rakesh Garg, Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Communications & IT, Department of Telecommunications, 20, Asoka Road, Sanchar Bhavan, New Delhi-110 001.
- Sri. D. Manoj,
   Controller of Communication Accounts,
   Door Sanchar Bhavan,
   Thiruvananthapuram

Respondents

(By Advocate: Mr.N.Anilkumar, Sr.PCGC)

The CP(C) having been taken up on 12<sup>th</sup> July, 2016, this Tribunal delivered the following order on the same day:

# ORDER (oral)

### By N.K. Balakrishnan, Judicial Member

It is submitted by the learned counsel for respondents that the order has already been complied with as regards applicants 2 to 6. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that there are so many members in the Association and the order has not been complied with so far as those members are concerned. It would be very difficult for the respondents to find out who are those members of the Association, who have not got the benefit of the order. Those members can approach this Tribunal for implementing the order, if their claim has not been considered and granted by the respondents. With this observation, this Contempt Petition is closed.

(P. Gopinath) Administrative Member

(N.K.Balakrishnan)
Judicial Member

aa.

18 7 16

section